

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

O'Dea et al.

Serial No .:

10/761,257

Group Art Unit:

3683

Filed:

01/21/2004

Examiner:

Schwartz

For:

MODULATOR NOISE REDUCTION VIA MOTOR CONTROL

Interview Summary Pursuant to M.P.E.P. § 713.04

MAIL STOP AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

On September 29, 2005, Applicant's attorney and Patent Examiner Christopher Schwartz conducted a telephone interview and discussed the following matters:

Description of exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Not Applicable

Claims discussed: Claim 6

Specific prior art discussed: Not Applicable

Principal proposed amendments of substantive nature: Not Applicable

Principal proposed amendments of clerical nature: Applicants' attorney and Patent Examiner Schwartz discussed amendments to claim 6 that would overcome the rejection of claim 6 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

General thrust of the principal arguments of the Applicant: Not Applicable

General thrust of the principal arguments of the Examiner: Not Applicable

Other pertinent matters discussed: Not Applicable

General results or outcome of the interview: The amendments suggested by Patent Examiner Schwartz have been adopted and applied in the Amendment submitted concurrently with this Interview Summary. The Examiner indicated that the Amendment would be entered based on these amendments.

If additional fees are incurred because of this Interview Summary and not included, the Commissioner is authorized to charge said additional fees, as well as credit any overpayments, to Deposit Account No. 08-2789 of Howard & Howard Attorneys, P.C.

Respectfully submitted,

HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS

October 3, 2005

Raymond C. Meiers, Registration No. 51,081

Howard and Howard Attorneys, P.C. The Pinehurst Office Center, Suite 101 39400 Woodward Ave.

Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304-5151

(248) 723-0417

Attorney Reference No: DP-309754 Application Serial No.: 10/761,257